FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

State: Idaho, All Family				Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2007					
	Overall Report Two-parent Report	X 	(check one)		_ yes no				
	PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005 (Complete this section for EACH change)								
There were no eligibility criteria changes to the Idaho TANF Program during FFY2006.									
1.									
	(attach supporting materi	ais to	unis iorin):						
2.	Estimated average month	ıly imp	pact of this eligibility c	hange on caseload in comparison year: 0					

OMB Approval No.: 0970-0309 Expiration Date: 09/30/2009

FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

State: Idaho, All Family Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2007

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

There were no changes to Idaho's TANF eligibility criteria in FFY 2006. Thus, the "impact of all changes" and the "Net Impact" are both zero.

Idaho will incorporate a pro rata reduction for excess MOE in this report. It was calculated as:

(a) FFY 2005 TANF MOE spending	\$11,849,559
(b) MOE (75% of line (a)) equals	\$8,887,170
(c) FFY 2006 TANF MOE spending of	\$13,721,359
(d) Idaho's Federal TANF Block Grant (cash only) spent in FFY 2006	\$7,060,638
(e) Idaho's Total TANF spending in FFY 2006 (line (c) + (d))	\$20,781,997
(f) Average spending per case (line (e)/FFY2006 caseload)	
\$20,781,997/1818 cases equal	\$11,431
(g) Excess MOE for FFY 2006 (line (c)-(d))	\$4,834,189
(h) Cases funded by Excess MOE (line (g)/(f))	423 cases

Thus, our FFY 2006 Caseload for this report equal 1,818 - 423 = 1,395 cases, and Part 2 – Worksheets reads as:

Caseload Reduction Calculation		
FY 2005 TANF Caseload	1,860	
FY 2005 SSP Caseload		
Total FY 2005 Caseload	1,860	
FY 2006 TANF Caseload	1,395	
FY 2006 SSP Caseload		
Total FY 2006 Caseload	1,395	
Actual Decline	465	25.0%
Decline – Net Impact	465	

Caseload Reduction Credit = 25.0%

OMB Approval No.: 0970-0309 Expiration Date: 09/30/2009